

Number	MacArthur Avenue Public Comments 10/16/19	Project Team Response				
		Referred to CHP or partner agency	Will be considered in study	Out of study scope	Comment Noted	Additional Remarks
CHP Enforcement						
1	Have CHP Monitor Traffic during am commute 7-9	●				
2	Toolbox, increase enforcement, suggest to have this done during rush hours 7-8am 5-6:30pm M-F	●				
24	Vote for chokers, speed humps, increase enforcement	●	●			
37	CHP portable speed trailer was out a few times and I watched cars speed past 35+mph. After a few days no one really cares unless police enforcement is there	●				
40	Increased enforcement	●				
42	CHP doesn't have the resources to post officers daily and at the 4 critical locations to address speeding, parking, and oversized trucks	●				
Speed Humps, Dynamic Radar Signs, and Chokers						
3	More than 3 speed humps		●			
4	Street is noisy, speed bump won't add to noise		●			
5	not interested in speed humps unless noise is minimal		●			
6	Road is already noisy with trucks, cars, ambulances, etc. Speed humps will not be a problem		●			
7	Speed humps to control speed and traffic		●			
8	More than three speed humps		●			
9	Speed humps (more than 3)		●			
20	Neighborhood wants a combination of approach sign, humps and chokers. Speed humps have helped in my daughters neighborhood, it would really help ours		●		●	
21	Gradual implementation of speed deterrents: 1. electric signs, dim stop lights 2. collect data 3. speed humps > 3		●			
22	support speed humps, dynamic signs		●			
23	interested in speed humps and dynamic signs		●			
24	Vote for chokers, speed humps, increase enforcement	●	●			
25	Vote for speed dynamic sign. Vote for PV signal head		●			
36	I vote for SPEED BUMPS		●			
37	the dynamic speed sign cannot be the only answer it would have to be combined with speed humps. noise will not be an issue. It's already loud with all the trucks/ traffic. In favor of 3 or more speed bumps. speed bumps/ humps would solve speeding issues. chokers will take away too much parking and I can see many accidents with this option	●	●		●	
38	I do not want speed bumps installed on my street.		●			
39	In favor of three or more Speedbumps (from W. San Carlos to Parkmoor Ave)		●			
40	Speed Humps, Dynamic Feedback Signs,		●			
41	I am also in favor of choke-points rather than speed humps. I don't think choke points will have a big negative effect on parking		●		●	
42	Need more than 3 speed humps in total;		●			
PV Signal						
10	Yes I support PV signal heads	●				
11	Yes, PV signal	●				
12	Shaded dark light or dark light are great, PV light	●				
13	Sign: Truck weight limit, PV light great!	●				
21	Gradual implementation of speed deterrents: 1. electric signs, dim stop lights 2. collect data 3. speed humps > 3	●				
25	Vote for speed dynamic sign. Vote for PV signal head	●				
37	can there be a PV signal at MacArthur and Moorpark?		●			
41	I would like to see this implemented along with adjusting the stop light at either end		●			
MacArthur Issues Outside of County Jurisdiction						
14	Please make the light at ginger right and left only			●		
15	Left or right only (moorpark)			●		
16	left/right turn only! (moorpark)			●		
17	Traffic cutting through ginger to avoid bascom, can we have only right and left			●		
18	Left and right only! (at moorpark)			●		
19	Left Right Only! (at moorpark)			●		
33	Traffic cutting through ginger to avoid bascom, can we have only right and left			●		
22	can we change the turn on to ginger No straight			●		

37	Signal at MacArthur/ ginger and Moorpark. Can you limit traffic onto MacArthur by making the lights left/ right only?			●		
39	MacArthur/Moorpark Stoplight: Alter access to Ginger Lane from MacArthur Ave! This stoplight can be altered to allow only left and right turns onto Moorpark Ave from MacArthur. This would also prevent some of the massive speeding of cars that are trying to make the greenlight to Ginger Ln.			●		
41	I would like to see the intersection at MacArthur and Moorpark be right/left turn only. This would reduce a lot of the cut-through traffic as Valley Med is the destination for a lot of it.			●		
Miscellaneous Notes						
26	Where should the dynamic signal speed sign be placed? In the middle of the long strip?			●		
27	We need a toolbox to slow down the big rigs or do not have them enter MacArthur Ave			●		
28	Bus stop is a problem, drivers too fast, passing bus (7:35 am 2:30 pm 1:30 pm Wed)	●		●		
29	When do you think the project will be accomplished				●	
30	We would like to restrict camper RV, overnight parking on residents				●	
31	Please put up mph signs throughout MacArthur			●		
32	Small tree circle at the corner of scott and macarthur				●	
34	ROW info, street light out (Los Coches and MacArthur)	●			●	
35	stop sign at pfeffer and macarthur or speed hump? Racing to make the light	●			●	
35	Traffic slowing measure on 280 bridge heading towards moorpark, stop sign at pfeffer and macarthur or speed hump? Racing to make the light	●			●	
36	Intersection at Pfeffer and MacArthur is very dangerous at times, there either needs to be a speed bump there, a stop sign or something to slow cars down.	●			●	
37	Too much cut through traffic			●		●
39	Speed bumps (on bridge too?), a stop sign at Pfeffer Ln, or perhaps a raised intersection or choke point at Pfeffer Lane	●		●	●	
39	Accidents and hit and runs on MacArthur	●				
41	I would also like to see a 4-way stop at MacArthur and Los Coches.			●		●
41	Ditto weight limit signs—absurdly huge trucks come through here!			●		●
41	Can VTA shuttle buses (not the small vans) be directed to use Basacom to access VMC and O'Connor Hospital drop off locations?	●			●	
41	Can you coordinate with SJC to install bollards at MacArthur/Stevens Creek to limit turning radius for trucks?	●			●	
41	VMC employees have been identified (per Sheriff Deputies) as key contributors to speeding;				●	
42	If speed hump cannot be placed on or near bridge, can you use a "raised intersection" or speed table at the macarthur avenue/pfeffer lane T-section with a dynamic speed sign? Not a realistic solution since residents rely heavily on street parking				●	
42	we are requesting cut through traffic be limited by modifying access to and/or from Ginger Lane.				●	

Comments in blue were received via email
Comments in black were received via post-it notes